

Bidirectional Electric Vehicle Working Group RFP

Responses to Questions Received

Posted 1/21/2026

1. Please confirm that the contract would be between the Contractor and the Green Bank

This contract would be between the Contractor and the Green Bank. The Electric Distribution Companies (“EDCs”) will participate in evaluating proposals and working group sessions. The selected Contractor should anticipate executing a Non-Disclosure Agreement with each EDC to cover any potential data sharing. It should be noted that Energy Storage Solutions Program is overseen by the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (“PURA”) and co-administered by Eversource, United Illuminating, and Green Bank, who are collectively known as the Program Administrators (“PAs”).

2. What is the anticipated cadence of meetings between February and August?

Asked another way, how many meetings is the Green Bank expecting the Contractor to facilitate and support as part of this engagement?

The Green Bank anticipates working with the selected Contractor to determine the scope and cadence of meetings. However, for the purpose of budgeting, Contractors could assume that the Working Group will convene approximately 5-7 times along with a handful of preparation meetings with Program Administrators as needed

3. If possible and applicable, please share scoring criteria that will be used to evaluate proposals.

Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of Contractor experience with 1.) bidirectional EV charging, 2.) running working groups, and 3.) working with regulatory/policy processes. Contractors will also be evaluated on the basis of their approach, cost competitiveness, and whether the Contractor has a formal diversity program and is a woman- or minority-owned business.

4. Does the Green Bank anticipate that the selected Contractor would draft terms and conditions for inclusion of bidirectional EVs for the ESS program manual, or that it would facilitate consensus items for the terms and conditions, which would be drafted by the Program Administrators?

The Program Administrators will draft terms and conditions for inclusion of bidirectional EVs for the ESS program manual to be submitted separately into the

ESS docket. These updated ESS terms and conditions will be informed by consensus recommendations derived from the Bidirectional Electric Vehicle Working Group. The selected Contractor will provide expert advice, particularly regarding the technical elements of integrating bidirectional EVs.

5. Is there a requirement or preference for any in-person meetings of the Bidirectional EV Working Group or is fully remote facilitation acceptable?

Fully remote facilitation is preferred – the (“PURA”)-named participants in the Bidirectional EV Working Group are not all physically located in Connecticut.

6. Should follow-up work associated with responses to PURA requests be included in the base quote, but any other work following the submission of the final be subject to hourly rates outside of the base quote?

The base quote should include: work up to the August 1, 2026, submission deadline and support/participation in one subsequent technical meeting. Any other subsequent work will be performed on an hourly basis.

7. The RFP notes that PURA’s December 17, 2025 Final Decision in Docket No. 25-08-05 requires that the Bidirectional EV Report must address enrollment incentive amounts for EVs – if enrollment incentives are recommended. Analysis of incentive amounts is not included in the Scope of Services section of the RFP. Does the Green Bank expect that the selected consultant will conduct quantitative analysis to support setting incentive levels (potentially including benefit cost analysis)?

No, the Consultant will propose incentive designs for Working Group discussion, but quantitative analysis, including benefit cost analyses, will be performed by the current ESS Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (“EM&V”) Contractor.